

#144 - QUADRUPLE AND DUAL THERAPIES ARE SUPERIOR TO STANDARD CLARITHROMYCIN-BASED TRIPLE THERAPY FOR HELICOBACTER PYLORI FIRST-LINE ERADICATION THERAPY USED IN THE LATIN AMERICAN REGISTRY ON THE MANAGEMENT OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI INFECTION (Hp-LATAMReg)

<https://doi.org/10.46613/congastro2023-144>

Reyes Placencia D¹, Remes-Troche J², Laudanno O³, Otero W⁴, Piscoya A⁵, Ramírez J⁶, Otoya G⁷, Campos C⁸, Medel-Jara P¹, Latorre G¹, Chahuan J¹, Arenas A⁹, Candia R¹, Mansilla R¹⁰, Vargas J¹, Hanna I¹¹, Cano A², Bosques F¹², Coss E¹³, Velarde J¹⁴, Pérez A¹⁴, Félix F¹⁴, Morel E¹⁴, Higuera F¹⁵, Velasco Y¹⁵, Motola M¹⁵, González M¹⁶, Olalde Á¹⁶, Raña R¹⁷, Flores Á¹⁸, Ochoa L¹⁸, Gómez O¹⁹, Yamamoto J²⁰, Valdovinos L²⁰, Juárez E²¹, Guirao R²¹, Bretón G²¹, Ortiz N²², Ruiz E²³, Icaza M²⁴, Pizarro M¹, Binder M¹, Bustamante M¹, Dukes E¹, Martinez F¹, Silva F¹, Marulanda H⁴, Otero L²⁵, Otero E²⁵, Trespalacios A²⁶, Ahumárán G²⁷, Bedini O²⁸, Rodriguez P²⁹, Ustares F³⁰, Moreno J⁸, Fuentes-López E¹, Cano-Català A³¹, Moreira L³², P. Nyssen O³³, P. Gisbert J³³, Riquelme A¹

¹Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile ²Institute of Medical-Biological Research, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, México ³Medical Research Institute Doctor Alfredo Lanari, Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁴Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia ⁵Guillermo Kaelin de la Fuente Hospital, EsSalud, Lima, Perú ⁶Clínica Liga Contra el Cáncer, Lima, Perú ⁷Guillermo Almenara Irigoyen Hospital, Lima, Perú ⁸Hospital Clínica Bíblica, San José, Costa Rica ⁹Facultad de Medicina Clínica Alemana-Universidad del Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile ¹⁰Facultad de Medicina y Ciencia, Universidad San Sebastián, sede Patagonia, Puerto Montt, Chile ¹¹Hospital Alcántara, Guayaquil, Ecuador ¹²Tecnológico de Monterrey, Monterrey, Nuevo León, México ¹³Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, CDMX, México ¹⁴Hospital Civil de Guadalajara Fray Antonio Alcalde, Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México ¹⁵Hospital General de México, CDMX, México ¹⁶Instituto de Seguridad Social del Estado de México y Municipios (ISSEMyM), Toluca, Estado de México, México ¹⁷Hospital Español de México, CDMX, México ¹⁸ISSTECALI, Mexicali, Baja California, México ¹⁹Hospital Ángeles Puebla, Puebla, México ²⁰Hospital Medica Sur, CDMX, México ²¹Hospital Juárez de México, CDMX, México ²²UMAE, CMN Siglo XXI, IMSS, CDMX, México ²³Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, CDMX, México ²⁴Hospital Faro del Mayab, Mérida, Yucatán, México ²⁵Centro de Gastroenterología y Endoscopía, Bogotá, Colombia ²⁶Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia ²⁷Clínica Monte Grande, Buenos Aires, Argentina ²⁸Centro de Endoscopía Digestiva, Rosario, Argentina ²⁹Instituto Modelo Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina ³⁰Sanatorio Lavalle, Jujuy, Argentina ³¹Endoscopy and Surgery (GOES) research group, Althaia Xarxa Assistencial Universitària de Manresa, 08243, Manresa, España ³²Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, España ³³Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Princesa (IIS-Princesa), UAM and CIBERehd, Madrid, España

Background: *Helicobacter pylori* infection is a public health problem in Latin America.

Objectives: Describe and evaluate the main *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapies, their eradication rates, adherence, and side effects.

Methods: A multicenter, retrospective, international registry (Hp-LATAMReg) was conducted. Information about therapies used by gastroenterologists in six countries (Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Mexico, and Peru) from 2015 to 2023 was registered in an e-CRF AEG-REDCap database. The modified intention-to-treat (mITT) effectiveness, safety, and adherence was analyzed for the first-line regimens. The mITT and the side effects rate of the schemes were compared by a Poisson multivariate regression, adjusted by sex, age, proton pump inhibitor (PPI) dose used in the schemes and the length of the treatment.

Results: 1,378 patients were registered, of which 1,218 (88%) were treatment-naïve. The most commonly prescribed first-line therapies (n=1,117, 81%) were analyzed: standard clarithromycin-based triple therapy (SCTT) (PPI-amoxicillin (A)-clarithromycin (C); n=405, 29%), PPI-C-A-Metronidazole (M) (n=219, 16%), dual therapy (DT) (PPI-A; n=139, 10%), PPI-M-Tetracycline (Tc)-Bismuth (B) (n=133, 9.7%), PPI-C-A-B (n=70, 5.1%), PPI-A-M-B (n=41, 3%), PPI-A-Levofloxacin (L) (n=39, 2.8%), PPI-M-Doxycycline (D)-B (n=37, 2.7%) and PPI-A-D-B (n=34, 2.5%). Most of the regimens were 14-day long (n=1,051, 96%), and administered high-dose PPIs (54 to 128 mg omeprazole equivalents b.i.d.) (n=548, 50%). The first-line mITT overall effectiveness ranged from 72% to 100%, being the DT, PPI-A-M-B, PPI-M-Tc-B, PPI-C-A-M and PPI-A-D-B significantly more effective than SCTT. Moreover, the DT, PPI-M-Tc-B, PPI-C-A-B and PPI-A-D-B schemes had significantly fewer side effects compared with SCTT. Good adherence, defined as >90% of drug intake, was observed in 98% (n=1,090), without differences between the schemes (p=0.16) (Table 1).

Conclusions: In Latin America, quadruple and dual therapies were superior to SCTT and were safer than standard triple therapy. SCTT should not be considered as a first-line eradication treatment in Latin America.

Prescription (%, n)	SCTT (29%, n=405)	PPI-C-A-M (16%, n=219)	DT (10%, n=139)	PPI-M- Tc-B (9.7%, n=133)	PPI-C- A-B (5.1%, n=70)	PPI-A-M- B (3%, n=41)	PPI-A-L (2.8%, n=39)	PPI-M-D- B (2.7%, n=37)	PPI-A-D-B (2.5%, n=34)
mITT eradication rate (% , n) (p<0.01*)	75%, n=301	93%, n=201	90%, n=125	89%, n=118	81%, n=57	100%, n=41	72%, n=28	81%, n=30	94%, n=32
Side effects rate (% , n) (p<0.01*)	39%, n=156	37%, n=82	10%, n=14	6.8%, n=9	16%, n=11	40%, n=16	21%, n=8	43%, n=16	2.9%, n=1
Good adherence rate (% , n) (p=0.16*)	97%, n=391	99%, n=215	99%, n=138	98%, n=130	99%, n=69	98%, n=40	100%, n=39	92%, n=34	100%, n=34

Poisson multivariate model to eradication per scheme									
	Scheme	RR eradication (95% CI)	p-value						
	SCTT	Reference	-						
	PPI-A-L	0.97 (0.79-1.20)	0.78						
	DT	1.13 (1.03-1.23)	0.01						
	PPI-A-M-B	1.25 (1.16-1.34)	<0.01						
	PPI-M-Tc-B	1.15 (1.05-1.26)	<0.01						
	PPI-M-D-B	1.05 (0.88-1.26)	0.60						
	PPI-C-A-M	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	<0.01						
	PPI-C-A-B	1.07 (0.95-1.22)	0.27						
	PPI-A-D-B	1.15 (1.03-1.29)	<0.01						

Poisson multivariate model to side effects per scheme									
	Scheme	RR side effects (95% CI)	p-value						
	SCTT	Reference	-						
	PPI-A-L	0.53 (0.27-1.04)	0.07						
	DT	0.36 (0.21-0.63)	<0.01						
	PPI-A-M-B	1.33 (0.87-2.03)	0.18						
	PPI-M-Tc-B	0.24 (0.12-0.46)	<0.01						
	PPI-M-D-B	1.27 (0.82-1.95)	0.28						
	PPI-C-A-M	0.91 (0.73-1.12)	0.37						
	PPI-C-A-B	0.35 (0.19-0.65)	<0.01						
	PPI-A-D-B	0.11 (0.02-0.74)	0.02						

Table 1. Eradication, side effects and acceptable adherence rates of the different schemes and the Poisson multivariate models (adjusted by sex, age, PPI dose used in the schemes and the length of the treatment) for eradication per scheme and side effects per scheme. *Chi square test. mITT = modified intention to treat; SCTT = Standard clarithromycin-based triple therapy; DT = Dual therapy; PPI = Proton pump inhibitor; C = Clarithromycin; A = Amoxicillin; B = Bismuth salts; M = Metronidazole; L = Levofloxacin; T = Tetracycline; D = Doxycycline.